



Department of Planning & Environment,

GPO Box 39

SYDNEY N.S.W. 2001

27th February, 2017

RF: SUBN

SUBMISSION - INGLESIDE LAND RELEASE

WIRREANDA VALLEY PRECINCT

Dear Sir/Madam,

We have lived here for some 38 years.

It appears from the latest draft plan for this area most of our land is to be acquired for "Environmental Conservation". The two small parcels left cannot be built on as the minimum building lot at present is 2 hectares.

B is approx. 1.2 hectares. It is also shown as being land-locked by the environmental conservation area, although we note the RMS Mona Vale Road West Upgrade suggests the construction of Harvey Road which could give access, but unless minimum lot sizes change or the boundary is moved, building would not be allowed.

is approx. .2 hectare and was purchased to enable access to our home on again too small as the rules stand to build on.

t

Department of Planning
Received

0 2 MAR 2017

Scanning Room

We see the options as:

- 1. Government/Council buy all three lots now so we have some certainty about our future and can look to move our home and business within the area.
- 2. Government/Council look at acquiring and the adjoining section of (up to 1.2ha) which would still leave us with approx. 2 ha but allow us to keep our home and business. We have attached an aerial photo showing approx. boundaries. We also attach a survey plan we had done in 2000 showing the way we were considering subdividing our site at the time. If Lot was as the subdivision shows, and access available, we could possibly move our home & business to this lot and allow Lot acquired for Conservation.
- 3. Government/Council look at their land holdings in the area with a view to a "land-swap" to a similar property.

We feel it very unfair that we have been "in-limbo" for the past three years, not able to sell and relocate, not knowing whether to spend the money necessary on our existing home.

We also feel that if Wirreanda Valley is to left as "Rural" then it is unfair to take the "green" from the valley to appease the Developers/Owners of Ingleside north and south. It should be left out completely. Surely there is plenty of similar terrain/undergrowth in the two National Parks adjoining the area to facilitate the flora/fauna and vermin.

It also appears we are being penalised for keeping most of our land "natural" as opposed to adjoining and nearby properties that have been totally cleared.

I would ask that this submission be kept confidential, or at least all identifying names/numbers be removed prior to posting.



Email:



